

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,423	23 03/01/2005		Martin Landwehr	6400-0042WOUS 3272		
49698	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER		
MICHAUI 306 INDUS		GROUP LLP	BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO			
SUITE 206	IMALIA	IKK KOAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MIDDLETO	OWN, CT	06457	3676			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/526,423	LANDWEHR, MARTIN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/05</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/526,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1,227,448. EP '448 teaches (Fig. 2 embodiment) a safe 2 comprising an outer door 18' hinged together with an inner door 20', the inner door having a cutout portion to allow access to certain interior spaces 14,16 and further having a safe lock mechanism 19' for the outer door and a lock mechanism 21' for the inner door.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1,227,448 in view of Canals et al 4,302,907. EP '448 fails to teach the use of a single lock mechanism for both the inner and outer doors. Canals et al teach a door assembly comprising inner and outer doors (1,2), one of the doors (2) having a cutout (10) for

Application/Control Number: 10/526,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

allowing access therethrough. A single mechanical key lock mechanism controls unlocking of either or both of the doors. It would have been obvious to modify the safe of EP '448 by providing a single lock mechanism as taught by Canals et al to consolidate the locking means and reduce the number of parts in order to allow retrofitting of the locks.

- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '448 in view of Canals et al '907, as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Craven et al 5,143,430. Craven et al teach the concept of different employees accessing different parts of the safe, i.e. the refrigerated portion behind the inner door 22 to replace goods or the control panel portion behind the outer door 18. It would have been obvious to provide the safe lock of EP '448, as modified by Canals et al, with a hierarchical entrance lock system as contemplated by Craven et al and as well known in the lock art to provide selective access to various safe compartments as desired.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '448 in view of Canals et al '907, as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Fumanelli 5,787,819. Fumanelli further teaches the use of electronic door lock control means (5a) on a safe 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art given the teaching of Fumanelli, to substitute electronic lock means for the mechanical means of EP '448, as modified by Canals et al, as a means of enhancing the security of the safe.

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the safe compartments of the cited art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb